

Part F: The Modified EMDR Fidelity Checklist

Cooper, R. Z., Smith, A. D., Lewis, D., Lee, C. W., & Leeds, A. M. (2019). Developing the Interrater Reliability of the Modified EMDR Fidelity Checklist. *Journal of EMDR Practice and Research*, *13*(1), 32 50. doi:10.1891/1933-3196.13.1.32

EMDR Therapy Fidelity Rating Scale for Reprocessing Session			
Subject Code/Name:	Date of Session:		
Rater:	Date of Review:		
Comments:	Average Rating:		
Re-evaluation Phase average score (items 1–4):			
Assessment Phase average score (items 5–14):			
Desensitization Phase average score (items 15–28):			
Installation Phase average score (items 29–34):			
Body Scan Phase average score (items 35–38):			
Closure Phase average score (items 39–45):			

Please note that there are issues with the form when non-Adobe software is used to fill in the form. The most common culprits are Apple Preview and the various PDF plugins in browsers such as Chrome, Firefox, Safari, etc., which no longer support the Adobe plugin. Please save the file, not open it in a browser window, and then open it using Adobe Acrobat or Reader.

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 1 of 25

	Re-evaluation Phase			
1	Did the clinician reevaluate the subject's experience since the last	0	1	2
	session with attention to feedback from the log, presenting			
	complaints, responses to current stimuli, and additional memories			
	or issues that might warrant modifications to the treatment plan?			
	(This is crucial after history-taking sessions as well as after			
	stabilization and reprocessing sessions.)			
	0 Clinician never or minimally elicited subject's progress on these			
	areas.			
	Clinician elicited subject's progress on these areas in an			
	incomplete or fundamentally flawed manner (e.g., spending an			
	hour on this activity, eliciting lots of irrelevant information, failing			
	to fully explore relevant issues).			
	2 Clinician elicited subject's progress on these areas well.			
			4	2
2	Did the clinician check the SUD and VoC on the target from the	0	1	2
	last session? (Skip if this is the first reprocessing session.)	\cup	\bigcirc	\cup
	0 Clinician checks neither SUD nor VoC.			
	Clinician checks either SUD or VoC.			
	2 Clinician checks both SUD and VoC.			
	Clinician checks either SUD or VoC.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 2 of 25

3	Dic	the clinician check for additional aspects of the target from the	0	1	2
	last session that may need further reprocessing? (Skip if this is				\bigcirc
	the	e first reprocessing session.)))	
	Exa	amples include: "When you think of that image, what's the worst			
	par	t of it now?" or "Has that image or any related thoughts or			
	fee	lings been bothering you since we last met?"			
	0	The clinician never explored this.			
	1	Clinician explored this in an incomplete or			
		fundamentally flawed manner (e.g., asked "Have you			
		been getting any flashbacks?")			
	2	Clinician explored this well			
4	If th	ne target from the last session had been incomplete or if in this	0	1	2
	ses	sion the subject reported the SUD were now a 1 or above or	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	the	VoC were a 5 or below, did the clinician resume reprocessing			
	on	the target from the last session? (<i>Skip if this is the first</i>			
	rep	rocessing session. If the client has multiple traumas and			
	afte	er reprocessing the SUDS is a 2 or even a 3, it may be more			
	арр	propriate to target a more disturbing or related memory or			
	ear	lier memory, then select this as the next target.)			
	0	Reprocessing was evidently incomplete, but the clinician			
		did not remain focused on this target (i.e., chose a new			
		target, ended the session).			
	1	Reprocessing was evidently incomplete, but clinician chose			
		to focus on an associated memory.			
	2	Reprocessing was evidently incomplete, and clinician chose			
		to remain focused on this target.			
items		tion Phase average score (items 1–4): Possible total of four ns (2, 3, and 4) can be skipped before reprocessing			
		have begun.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 3 of 25

	Assessment Phase							
5	Did the clinician select an appropriate target from the treatment plan?	0	1	2				
	No target was selected.							
	1 Selected target was irrelevant to presenting problems and case							
	formulation OR was fundamentally flawed in some way (e.g., was							
	not a sensory event).							
	2 Selected target was relevant and appropriate.							
6	Did the clinician elicit a picture (or other sensory memory) that	0	1	2				
	represented the entire incident or the worst part of the incident?	0	0	0				
	Clinician did not elicit a sensory representation of the event.							
	Clinician elicited a sensory representation of the event in a							
	fundamentally flawed way (e.g., selected multiple representations at							
	once, chose the most tolerable sensory representation).							
	2 Clinician elicited and chose an appropriate sensory representation of							
	the event.							
7	Did the clinician elicit an appropriate negative cognition (NC)?	0 0) -	2				
	NC is not obtained or is suggested by clinician and does not)				
	appear to resonate with subject.							
	NC is missing a couple of essential elements.							
	2 NC is derived from the subject and is self-referencing, presently							
	held, accurately focuses on presenting issue, generalizable, is a							
	true cognition (i.e. not a feeling, like "I am frustrated") and has							
	affective resonance.							

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 4 of 25

8	Did the clinician elicit an appropriate positive cognition (PC)?	0	1	2
	0 PC is not obtained or is suggested by clinician and does not	O	\circ	\circ
	appear to resonate with subject.			
	PC is missing a couple of essential elements.			
	2 PC is derived from the subject and is self-referencing, in the same theme as the NC, accurately focuses on desired direction of change, generalizable, is a true cognition (i.e. not a feeling, like "I am happy") is realistically adaptive and 1 < VoC < 5.			
9	Did the clinician assure that the NC and PC address the same thematic	0	1	2
	domain: responsibility, safety, choice?	0	0	0
	NC and PC are in different thematic domains.			
	NC and PC did not clearly address the same thematic domain.			
	2 NC and PC clearly addressed the same thematic domain.			
10	Did the clinician obtain a valid VoC by referencing the felt confidence of	0	1	2
10	Did the clinician obtain a valid VoC by referencing the felt confidence of the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other	0	1	2
10		0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)?	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)? 0 VoC is absent or invalid (i.e., VoC =1 or VoC > 5).	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)? 0 VoC is absent or invalid (i.e., VoC =1 or VoC > 5). 1 Valid VoC obtained but not while focused on image or other sensor	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)? 0 VoC is absent or invalid (i.e., VoC =1 or VoC > 5). 1 Valid VoC obtained but not while focused on image or other sensor memory OR invalid VoC obtained while focusing on image or other	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)? 0 VoC is absent or invalid (i.e., VoC =1 or VoC > 5). 1 Valid VoC obtained but not while focused on image or other sensor memory OR invalid VoC obtained while focusing on image or other sensory memory.	0	1	2
10	the PC in the present while the subject focused on the picture (or other sensory memory)? O VoC is absent or invalid (i.e., VoC =1 or VoC > 5). Valid VoC obtained but not while focused on image or other sensor memory OR invalid VoC obtained while focusing on image or other sensory memory. Valid VoC obtained while focusing on image or other sensory	0	1	2

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 5 of 25

11	Did the clinician elicit the present emotion by linking the picture and the NC?	0	1	2
	Did not elicit the present emotion (or physiological response).			
	Elicited present emotion (or physiological response) from the image or the NC but not both.			
	2 Elicited present emotion (or physiological response) from both the image and the NC.			
12	Did the clinician obtain a valid SUD (i.e., the current level of	0	1	2
	disturbance for the entire experience – not merely for a present	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	emotion) NB SUD rating is on the entire target experience.)	
	Did not obtain a SUD.			
	1 SUD obtained but not valid (i.e., SUD <= 2 during a 1 st processing			
	session, although continuing with a SUD <= 2 may be appropriate during a reprocessing session).			
	Valid SUD obtained on present emotion (or physiological response).			
13	Did the clinician elicit a body location for current felt disturbance?	0	1	2
	Did not elicit a body location for current disturbance.	0	0	0
	Elicited a vague body location for current disturbance.			
	2 Elicited body location for current disturbance.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 6 of 25

14	Did the clinician follow the standard assessment sequence listed	0	1	2
	above? Note: Although some leeway on the standard sequence is	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	
	acceptable during this phase, the sequence of eliciting the Image $ ightarrow$			
	NC o PC o VoC o Emotion o SUD o Location is essential because			
	the subject may find it difficult to elicit a PC after eliciting the current			
	emotion associated with the traumatic event.			
	0 Did not follow the essential sequence of Image \to NC \to PC \to VoC \to Emotion \to SUD \to Location			
	Mostly followed the essential sequence of Image → NC → PC → VoC → Emotion → SUD → Location.			
	2 Followed the essential sequence of Image \rightarrow NC \rightarrow PC \rightarrow VoC \rightarrow			
	Emotion →SUD → Location.			
As	sessment Phase average score (items 5–14): Total of 10 items.			1
	Desensitization Phase			
15	Before beginning bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral	0	1	2
	stimulation, did the clinician instruct subject to focus on the picture, NC	O	O	0
	(in the first person), and the body location? No target was selected.			
	Did not instruct subject to focus on any of these areas.			
	Clinician instructed subject to focus on 1 or 2 items (image or			
	sensory memory, NC and body location).			
	Clinician instructed subject to focus on all 3 items (image or sensory memory, NC and body location).			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 7 of 25

16	Did the clinician provide bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral	0	1	2
	stimulation of at least 24 to 30 repetitions per set as fast as could be	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	tolerated comfortably? (Note: Children and adolescents and a few			
	adult subjects require fewer passes per set, e.g., 14–20.)			
	Did not administer any bilateral eye movements or alternate			
	bilateral stimulation (EM/ABS) or offered a speed of stimulation			
	that was significantly too slow or far too few repetitions, e.g. only			
	4-8 saccades.			
	Most times, most sets missing an essential element of EM/ABS,			
	somewhat too slow or somewhat too few saccades.			
	2. Most times, most sets were at least 24 EM/ABS of relatively			
	constant and sufficient speed, width and direction.			
17	During bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation, did the	0	1	2
	clinician give some periodic nonspecific verbal support (perhaps			
	folinician give some penodic nonspecific verbal support (pemaps	()	()	()
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue?		0	
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue?			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with	0		
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e.	0		
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with	0		
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e.			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set).			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set). 1. Gave limited nonspecific verbal support or only slightly overly			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set). 1. Gave limited nonspecific verbal support or only slightly overly specific feedback or excessive dialogue during some of the sets			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set). 1. Gave limited nonspecific verbal support or only slightly overly specific feedback or excessive dialogue during some of the sets (i.e. <50% of the set).			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set). 1. Gave limited nonspecific verbal support or only slightly overly specific feedback or excessive dialogue during some of the sets (i.e. <50% of the set). 2. Most time, most sets, avoided excessive dialogue and specific			
	contingent to nonverbal changes in subject) while avoiding dialogue? 0 Gave no nonspecific verbal support or was overly directly with specific feedback or excessive dialogue during most sets (i.e. spoke during >50% of the set). 1. Gave limited nonspecific verbal support or only slightly overly specific feedback or excessive dialogue during some of the sets (i.e. <50% of the set). 2. Most time, most sets, avoided excessive dialogue and specific feedback and did offer nonspecific verbal support (i.e., if subject is			

EMDRAA Fidelity Che	cklist	Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 8 of 25	

18	At the end of each discrete set of bilateral eye movements or alternate	0	1	2
	bilateral stimulation, did the clinician use appropriate phrases to have	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	the subject, "Rest, take a deeper breath, let it go"(while not asking the)
	subject to "relax") then make a general inquiry ("What do you notice			
	now?") while avoiding narrowly specific inquiries about the image,			
	emotions, or feelings?			
	0 Used inappropriate phrases after most sets (i.e. >50% of the			
	set).			
	1. Used inapprepriate phrases after some sets (i.e. <50% of the			
	Used inappropriate phrases after some sets (i.e. <50% of the set).			
	301).			
	2 The clinician used appropriate phrases for all three items after			
	most sets, most of the time (i.e., deep breath instruction,			
	general inquiry, avoided specific inquiry).			
19	After each verbal report, did the clinician promptly resume bilateral eye	0	1	2
	movements or alternate bilateral stimulation without excessive delay			\bigcirc
	for discussion and without repeating subject's verbal report?			\circ
	Permitted or encouraged excessing verbal reports or needlessly			
	repeated subject's comments after some sets (i.e. >50% of the			
	repeated subject's comments after some sets (i.e. >50% of the sets).			
	sets).			
	sets). 1 Often resumed EM/ABS without repeating the subject's verbal			
	sets). 1 Often resumed EM/ABS without repeating the subject's verbal report and without promoting excess verbiage (i.e. <50% of the sets).			
	sets). 1 Often resumed EM/ABS without repeating the subject's verbal report and without promoting excess verbiage (i.e. <50% of the			

EMDRAA Fidelity Che	cklist	Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 9 of 25	

20	If v	erbal reports and nonverbal observations indicated reprocessing was	0	1	2
	effe	ective, after reaching a neutral or positive channel end, did clinician	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	ret	urn attention to the selected target and check for additional material in			
	nee	ed of reprocessing (i.e., "What's the worst part of it now?")?			
	0	Subject was never asked a question similar to "Recall the			
		original incident. What do you notice now?" after reaching a			
		neutral or positive end without evidence of strengthening.			
	1	After five or more consecutive sets of EM/ABS reporting neutral or			
		positive experiences without evidence of strengthening, only then			
		was the subject asked a question similar to "recall the original			
		incident. What do you notice now?"			
	2	After two consecutive sets of EM/ABS reporting neutral or			
		positive experiences without evidence of strengthening, subject			
		was asked a question similar to "Recall the original incident. What			
		do you notice now?"			
21	If v	erbal reports or nonverbal observations indicated reprocessing was			
	ine	ffective, did the clinician vary characteristics of the bilateral eye			
	mo	vements or alternate bilateral stimulation (speed, direction, change			
	mo	dality, etc.)? (Skip if not applicable. Counts as two items if			
	арі	olicable.)			
	0	After 3-4 consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no change			
		in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician never	0	1	2
		made a valid variation of the EM/ABS.	0	0	0
	1	After 3-4 consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no	0	1	2
		change in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician	\bigcirc	\circ	\bigcirc
		made a valid variation of the EM/ABS.)))
	2	After two consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no			
		change in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician			
		made a valid variation of the EM/ABS.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Che	cklist	Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 10 of 25	

22	lf	verbal reports or nonverbal observations indicated reprocessing			
	wa	as ineffective, did the clinician do any of these? (Skip if not			
	аŗ	pplicable. Counts as two items if applicable.)			
	•	Explore for an earlier disturbing memory with similar affect, body			
		sensations, behavioral responses, urges, or belief.			
	•	Explore for a blocking belief, fear or concern disrupting effective			
		reprocessing, and then identify a related memory.			
	•	Explore target memory for more disturbing images, sounds, smells,			
		thoughts, beliefs, emotions, or body sensation.			
	ln۱	vite subject to imagine expressing unspoken words or acting on			
	un	acted urges. Offer one or more interweaves.			
	0	After two consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no	0	1	2
		change in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician	0	\circ	\circ
		did not try any of these strategies.			
	1	After two consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no change	0	1	2
		in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician didn't	\cup	\cup	\cup
		persist in using one of the above strategies (i.e., tried one strategy			
		but subject still blocked, and didn't try a second strategy).			
	2	After two consecutive sets of eye movements reporting no			
		change in a memory, belief, emotion, or body location, clinician			
		effectively used one or more of these strategies.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 11 of 25

23	If subject showed extended intense emotion, or if reprocessing was			
	ineffective, did clinician show appropriate judgment in selecting and			
	offering one (or if necessary more) interweave(s) from among the			
	categories of responsibility, safety, and choices while avoiding excess			
	verbiage? (Skip if not applicable. Counts as two items if applicable.)			
	Note: Intense, extended emotion includes a single behaviour (e.g.,			
	crying, hyperventilating, trembling, turning red, or other more subtle			
	signs as determined by the therapist) that is present for an extended			
	time (i.e., >6 minutes). Ineffective processing is when the subject			
	reports exactly the same experience (e.g., emotion, thought, image, or			
	body disturbance) OR a repetitive set of responses (i.e., looping) after	0	1	2
	two or more successive sets.	0	0	0
	Clinician did not use an interweave where appropriate.			
	4. Intervegue was affored in an incomplete or fundamentally flowed	0	1	2
	1 Interweave was offered in an incomplete or fundamentally flawed	0	0	\bigcirc
	manner (e.g., interweave took ten minutes to deliver, interweave			
	was not from domains of responsibility, safety, choice).			
	2 An interweave from the domains of responsibility, safety or			
	choice was offered in an appropriate way.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Che	cklist	Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 12 of 25	

24	If subject showed extended intense emotion, did the clinician continue			
	sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation with			
	increased repetitions per set, remain calm, compassionate, and provide			
	verbal cueing paced with the bilateral stimulation to encourage the			
	subject to continue to "just notice" or "follow"? (Skip if not applicable.			
	Counts as two items if applicable.)			
	Note: Intense, extended emotion includes a single behaviour (e.g.,			
	crying, hyperventilating, trembling, turning red) that is present for an			
	extended time (i.e., >6 minutes).			
	O Clinician did not increase repetitions per set or give calm, compassionate, and encouraging verbal cueing.	0	1	2
	Clinician either increased repetitions per set until emotional behaviour noticeably decreased OR gave limited calm, compassionate, and encouraging verbal cueing (but not both).	0	1	2
	2 Clinician increased repetitions per set until emotional behaviour noticeably decreased AND gave multiple calm, compassionate, and encouraging verbal cueing per set.			
25	If a more recent memory emerged, did the clinician acknowledge its	0	1	2
	significance, offer to return to the more recent memory later, and	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	redirect the client back to the selected target memory within one or two			
	sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation? (Skip			
	if not applicable.)			
	A recent memory emerged and clinician did not acknowledged its			
	significance or offer to return to it later, but merely continued with			
	many sets (more than 4 or 5) of EM/ABS focused on the recent			
	memory without returning to check the original target memory. A			
	significant portion of the remaining portion of the session			
	continued with this new focus of attention.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 13 of 25

	I	l .		1
	1 A recent memory emerged and clinician either acknowledged its significance while offering to return to it later OR redirected subject's attention to target memory (but not both) within two or three sets of EM/ABSs. Alternatively, recent memory emerged and clinician both acknowledged its significance while offering to return to it later AND redirected subject's attention to target memory, but did so after more than three but fewer than 6 sets of EM/ABS.			
	2 Recent memory emerged and all components of this item (i.e.,			
	acknowledgment, redirection to target, responding within two			
	EM/ABS) were achieved completely.			
26	If an earlier (antecedent) memory emerged, did the clinician continue			
	bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation on the earlier			
	memory, and if this earlier memory becomes resolved then did the			
	clinician redirect the subject back to the target memory. Alternatively	0	1	2
	did the clinician make a clinically informed decision to help the subject	\bigcirc		
	to contain this material until a later date due to concerns that the subject)	
	was not ready to confront this material? (Skip if not applicable.)			
	If earlier memory did not require immediate containment:			
	0 Clinician did not offer EM/ABS until earlier memory was resolved.			
	Instead the clinician immediately redirected the subject to the			
	original target even though time remained to process the earlier			
	memory.			
	Clinician offered EM/ABS for a series of sets after which the			
	subject reported neutral or positive experiences, but they never			
	redirected subject's attention back to the original target.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Che	cklist	Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 14 of 25

2 Clinician offered EM/ABS until the subject reported neutral or positive experiences and if time remained then redirected the subject's attention to back to the original target.

If earlier memory **did** require prompt containment (this may not be evident immediately):

- Clinician never advised the subject to about the option to contain this material and did not explore with the subject whether to address this earlier material now or wait until a later date when they feel more ready to confront it.
- 1 Clinician delayed their advice to the subject to contain this material until a later date and the subject subsequently requested to stop reprocessing after confronting the earlier memory. Alternatively, they promptly advised the subject to contain this material without giving the subject the option of continuing, or may not have stated when they would return to it or the reasons for doing so.
- 2 Clinician explored with the subject the option to contain this material until a later date when they are able to confront it and the subject elected to contain it.

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 15 of 25

If it became clear it was not possible to complete reprocessing in this session, did clinician show appropriate judgment to avoid returning subject's attention to residual disturbance in target, skip Installation and Body Scan Phases, and go directly to closure? (Skip if not applicable.) Note: Clinicians should make this decision within 10 minutes of the session ending. This decision is informed partly by clinical judgment and partly by the subject's reported SUD upon rechecking the target after two sets of their reporting positive or neutral experiences. The aim is to ensure that subjects are oriented to the present and are given enough time to regain full orientation to the present, and to diminish any residual anxiety and distress before leaving the session. Reprocessing evidently could not be completed in this session and: 0 The clinician never made any decision in order to end the session effectively and continued reprocessing right up to the end of the session. 1 The clinician made some decisions in order to end the session effectively, however these were delayed, incomplete, rushed, or otherwise fundamentally flawed. (e.g., beginning part of the installation phase first and then going directly to closure; not reserving sufficient time for closure based on the client's needs). 2 The clinician went directly to closure phase without returning the subject's attention to the residual disturbance in target.

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 16 of 25

28	If it appeared from spontaneous subject reports that the Desensitization	0	1	2
	Phase may have been complete, did clinician show appropriate	0	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	judgment to return subject's attention to target to confirm the SUD was			
	0 (or an "ecological" 1) by offering at least one more set of bilateral eye			
	movements or alternate bilateral stimulation on the target before going			
	to the Installation Phase? (Skip if not applicable.)			
	Target was checked (e.g., by asking, "Recall the original incident. What do you notice now?") AND:			
	Appropriate SUD was not obtained before moving onto Installation Phase.			
	Appropriate SUD was obtained but not rechecked after a second set of EM/ABS before moving onto Installation Phase.			
	Appropriate SUD was obtained and rechecked after (at			
	least) a second set of EM/ABS before moving onto			
	Installation Phase.			
	Desensitization Phase average score (items 15–28): Up to eight			l
	items can be skipped. Fourteen items, plus four can be doubled.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 17 of 25

Installation Phase

If the Desensitization Phase was completed (and item 28 scored) proceed to score Installation Phase items. If the Desenitization Phase was incomplete, skip both the Installation and Body Scan Phases and proceed to score the Closure Phase. However, if the desensitization was incomplete and the clinician incorrectly proceeded to Installation or Body Scan Phases, these phases should be scored and down rated accordingly.

29	Did the clinician confirm the final PC by inquiring whether the original	0	1	2
	PC still fit or if there were now a more suitable one?	0	0	0
	0 Clinician did not check to see if a better PC could be elicited and			
	merely began Installation with the original PC from Phase 3.			
	1 Clinician inquired about the a better PC but began the Installation			
	Phase with a final PC that did not match full criteria for a PC or that			
	was not a good fit for the subject.			
	2 Clinician checked to see if a better PC could be elicited began the			
	Installation Phase with a final PC that the subject agreed was			
	suitable and that fully matched criteria for a PC.			
	, ,			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 18 of 25	

30	Bef	ore offering bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral	0	1	2
	stin	nulation, did the clinician obtain a valid VoC (i.e., by having subject	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\circ
	ass	ess the felt confidence of the PC while thinking of the target			
	inci	dent)?			
	0	Subject was never prompted for a VoC.			
	1	Subject was not instructed to think about the target incident			
		before providing a VoC for the PC. Alternately, EM/ABS began			
		before subject gave a valid VoC.			
	2	Subject was instructed to think about target incident before			
	providing a VoC for the PC (and before being administered the				
31	Did	the clinician offer more sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate	0	1	2
	bila	teral stimulation after first asking each time that the subject focus on	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	the	target incident and the final PC?))	
	0	Subject was not given a series of EM/ABS or alternately, subject			
		was never instructed to focus on both the target incident and the			
		PC between each set of EM/ABS.			
	1	Subject was instructed to focus on either the target incident or			
		the PC (but not both) between sets EM/ABS.			
	2	Subject was instructed to focus on both target incident and PC			
	_	between sets of EM/ABS.			
32	Did	the clinician obtain a valid VoC after each set of bilateral eye	0	1	2
	mo	vements or alternate bilateral stimulation?	\bigcirc	0	0
	0	Clinician failed to obtain a valid VoC after more than half of all			
		EM/ABS sets.			
	1	Clinician obtained a valid VoC after more than half but not all			
		EM/ABS sets			
	2	Clinician obtained a valid VoC after all EM/ABS sets.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org		
Original version		Approval date	July 2025	
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 19 of 25

33	After sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation,	0	1	2
	if the VoC did not rise to a 7, did the clinician inquire what prevents it	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	from rising to a 7 and then make an appropriate decision to target the			
	thought or move to body scan or closure? (Skip if not applicable.)			
	VoC was struggling to rise to a 7 after several sets of eye movements			
	and:			
	0 Clinician did not make the inquiry as per above.			
	Clinician made an inquiry and accepted the subject's rationale for			
	the VoC remaining below a 7 without targeting the rational with			
	further EM/ABS.			
	Clinician made the inquiry as per above and appropriately			
	targeted the thought or moved to Body Scan / Closure.			
34		0	4	2
34	Did the clinician continue sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate	0	1	
	bilateral stimulation until the VoC was a 7 and no longer getting		\cup	\cup
	stronger (or a 6 if "ecological")? <i>(Skip if not applicable.)</i> (Note either			
	item 33 or 34 should be scored unless there were [a] insufficient time			
	to complete the Installation Phase or [b] a new issue emerged that			
	prevented completing the Installation Phase.)			
	The completion of the Installation Phase did not involve the use of			
	VoCs.			
	The completion of the Installation Phase involved the incomplete			
	or fundamentally flawed use of VoC's (e.g., ending with a single			
	VoC of 7, ending with two successive VoC's of 5).			
	The completion of the Installation Phase occurred via obtaining			
	VoCs of 7 (or "ecological" 6's) after two successive sets of			
	EM/ABS.			
	Installation Phase average score (items 29–34): Up to two items			
	can be skipped. Possible total six items.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 20 of 25	

	Body Scan Phase			
35	Did the clinician obtain a valid body scan (asking subject to [a] report any unpleasant sensation while focusing on [b] the final PC and [c] the target incident with eyes closed)?	\circ	1	2
	No body scan was conducted. Or the subject was asked to think about negative details from the sensory memory, emotions or physical sensations in Phase 3.			
	A body scan was conducted, but subject was not instructed to focus on <i>both</i> the final PC and the target incident. Subject was instructed an all major companying of body scan.			
36	2 Subject was instructed on all major components of body scan. If any unpleasant sensations were reported, did the clinician continue with additional sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate bilateral stimulation until these sensations became neutral or positive? If unpleasant sensations were reported and bilateral stimulation was not offered, was there an appropriate clinical rationale (i.e., linkage to a different memory)? (Skip if not applicable.) Unpleasant sensations were reported and: 1 No additional sets of EM/ABS were offered and no appropriate clinical rationale was present. 1 Additional sets of EM/ABS were offered and were discontinued before the subject reported neutral or positive experiences after two successive sets.	0	1	2
	Additional sets of EM/ABS were offered and were discontinued after he subject reported neutral or positive experiences after two successive sets. Alternatively, no additional sets of EM/ABSs were offered but an appropriate clinical rationale was present.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 21 of 25	

37	If a new memory emerged, did the clinician make an appropriate	0	1	2
	decision to continue by targeting the new memory in the session or later	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	as part of the treatment plan? (Skip if not applicable.) Note: The new			
	memory must be an eligible target (i.e., it must relate to presenting			
	problems and have some distressing content). A new memory emerged			
	and:			
	The clinician neither targeted it in session (i.e., starting from			
	Phase 3) nor explained to the subject that it may be best to			
	target it later in treatment.			
	The clinician either targeted it in session (i.e., starting from 3) or			
	explained to the subject that it may be best to target it later in			
	treatment, however the decision made was not well-informed by			
	the session's remaining time or the nature of the memory.			
	The clinician either targeted it in session (i.e., starting from Phase			
	3) or explained to the subject that it may be best to target it later			
	in treatment. This decision was well-informed by the session's			
	remaining time and the nature of the memory.			
38	If pleasant sensations were reported, did the clinician target these and			
	continue with additional sets of bilateral eye movements or alternate	0	4	2
	bilateral stimulation as long as these sensations continued to become	0	\bigcap	2
	more positive? (Skip if not applicable.)	\cup	\cup	\cup
	Rody Scan Phase average score (items 25, 20):			
	Body Scan Phase average score (items 35–38): Up to three items can be skipped. Possible total of four items.			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 22 of 25	

	Closure Phase							
39	Did the clinician ma	ke an appropriate decisi	on to move to closure?	0	1	2		
	0 The Closure	Phase was omitted.						
	1 The Closure	Phase began premature	ly or was delayed.					
	2 The Closure	Phase was begun in a ti	mely manner from					
	either the su	ccessful completion of th	ne Body Scan Phase or					
	an appropria	te premature discontinue	e from an earlier phase					
	due to time of	or distress management	constraints.					
40		sure subject was appropr	riately reoriented to the	0	1	2		
	present by:					\circ		
	(a) assessing subje	ct's residual distress and	d to enhance orientation to					
	the present and (b)	if needed then offer app	ropriate and sufficient					
	structured procedur	es (such as guided imag	ery, breathing exercises, or					
	containment exercis	se to decrease anxiety, o	distress, & dissociation,					
	0 Subject was not	assessed for distress a	nd clinician continued					
	immersive discu	ussion of the memory. W	hen needed, interventions					
	were not used t	o diminish the subject's	distress.					
	1 Subject was ass	sessed for distress, but a	ttempts at orienting them to					
	the present an	d diminishing their dis	tress were incomplete or					
	ineffective.							
	2 Subject was as	sessed for distress and o	clinician began present-					
		sion. When needed, inter						
	•	t's distress and subject r	eported these to be					
	effective.							

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 23 of 25	

Did the clinician support mentalization by inviting subject to comment on changes in awareness, perspective, and self-acceptance related to the session just completed? O No discussion about the subject's in-session experiences, the	0	2
treatment trajectory, or observed improvements occurred. 1 Some comments about the session's in session experiences, the treatment trajectory, or observed improvements occurred.		
2 Considered discussion about the subject's in-session experiences, the treatment trajectory, or observed improvements occurred.		
 Did the clinician offer empathy and psychoeducation where appropriate, and statements to normalize and help to put into perspective the subject's experience? (Skip if not applicable.) Subject introduced information about their own experiences, the treatment trajectory, and/or presenting problems and clinician did not respond therapeutically. Subject introduced information about their own experiences, the treatment trajectory and presenting problems and clinician gave partially therapeutic responses. Subject introduced information about their own experiences, the treatment trajectory and presenting problems and clinician responded with empathy, normalising statements, or psychoeducation. 		2

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 24 of 25	

43	Did	the clinician brief the subject on the possibility between sessions of			
	cor	tinuing or new, positive or distressing thoughts, feelings, images,		4	0
	ser	sations, urges, or other memories or dreams related to the	0	1	2
	rep	rocessing from this session?	\cup	\cup	\cup
		Clinician did not brief the aubicat of this possibility			
	0	Clinician did not brief the subject of this possibility.			
	1	Clinician minimally briefed the subject of this possibility.			
4.4	2	Clinician fully (and concisely) briefed the subject of this possibility.			
44		the clinician request that the subject keep a written log of any			
		itinuing or new issues or other changes to share at the next session?	0	1	2
	0	Clinician did not request that subject keep written notes of any	\bigcirc	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
	,	between-session behavioral observations, insights, triggers, etc.			
	1	Clinician requested that subject keep notes of between-session			
		issues or observations in an incomplete or fundamentally flawed			
		manner, i.e. without explaining the notes can be brief and/or without offering a written log form			
	2				
	2	Clinician requested that subject keep notes of between-session issues in a complete manner, e.g. explaining that they could be			
		about behavioral changes, responses to triggers, new insights, new			
		memories, positive dreams or nightmares.			
45	Did	the clinician remind the subject to practice a self-control procedure	0	1	2
70		y or as needed?	$\tilde{\bigcirc}$	$\dot{\bigcirc}$	
	0	Clinician did not remind the subject to practice self- control			
		procedures.			
	1	Clinician reminded subject to practice self-control procedures in			
	ľ	an incomplete or fundamentally flawed manner.			
	2	Clinician reminded subject to practice self-control procedures.			
CI	บรับ	re Phase average score (items 39–45):			

EMDRAA Fidelity Checklist		Contact accred@emdraa.org			
Original version		Approval date	July 2025		
Version number	2025.1	Review date	July 2026	Page 25 of 25	